

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,334	01/09/2002	Onno Dirk Oenema	98-IKU-837	3239
7	590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
Eaton Corporation			ROBINSON, MARK A	
Eaton Centre 1111 Superior Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH 44114-2584			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>/: 1</u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	C			
Office Action Summary		09/831,334	OENEMA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Mark A. Robinson	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) did to period for reply is specified above, the maximum status ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 19 December 2003.					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	, -						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th The oath or declaration is objected to b						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A Ocuments have been the priority documents have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been	Application No I received in this National Stage	е			
Attachmei	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/19/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

These claims stand rejected for the reasons given previously. Applicant has stated in the response that support for claim 25 is found on p. 2 of the specification. However, this portion of the specification states "the mirror housing

Application/Control Number: 09/831,334

Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

comprises one single build-up element...in which build-up element a reinforcement element is provided." This statement, along with other teachings of the specification, define the housing and build-up element as two separate items, with the build-up element located within the housing. Thus, the recitation of claim 25 which states "forming a one-piece mirror housing...and insert molding electrically conductive reinforcement strips therein" is not consistent with the teachings of the specification, since the implication of this limitation is that the reinforcement strips are molded into the mirror housing. Further, support for "a one-piece mirror housing" seems to be lacking in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huizenga et al 5900999 in view of Fuerst et al 6247823.

The limitations of these claims are met by or obvious over Huizenga as discussed in the previous office action, except that Huizenga does not explicitly teach an electronics unit in a hollow of the one-piece element. However, Fuerst teaches such

Art Unit: 2872

an electronics unit(9) which includes a PCB in the hollow of a mirror build-up element (see fig. 1). Note that this electronics unit is used to control mirror functions such as mirror adjustment, heating or lighting (see col. 1). It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include an electronics unit in the sealed hollow space as shown by Fuerst in Huizenga's system in order to protect the electronics unit, which provides for the various ancillary functions (heating, etc.), from deleterious environmental effects as taught by Fuerst (see the abstract).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaspar et al and Lynam et al show electronics units located within mirror housings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/831,334 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

2/6/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER